Title: When reduplication gives a choice

I investigate an undocumented structure of Breton that creates a Free Choice Item (FCI) by productive reduplication. As far as I know, this phenomena is typologically unique because the FCI here does not contains *wh* morphology, a disjunction or an equivalent of 'one'. The reduplication process applies on a head noun (or a DP) over a deictic marker.

Introduction to the reduplicated structure

In Breton, adverbial deictic locatives (*-mañ*, *-se* and *-hont*) are affixed to DPs in order to create demonstratives (1, 2). Such an analytic structure is not crosslinguistically rare for demonstratives, (cf. other Celtic languages, or Haitian Creole).

(1)	an deiz -mañ	Mari- mañ	
	DET day-here	Marie-here	
	'this day'	'Marie here present'	
(2)	an deiz -se	Mari-se	Mari- hont
	DET day-there	Marie-there	Marie-there.far
	'this (particular) day'	'this Marie (I just told you about)'	'this (far) Mary'

The construction is ungrammatical with the indefinite article *un*, but can be shown to be an indefinite: the demonstrative occurs in contexts where it has not been activated in discourse, like the specific indefinite English *this* (Prince 1981, Gundel and al. 1993).

- (2) * **un** deiz-mañ
- (3) Aet on tre er bar hag aze meus en em gavet gant *ar plac'h-se / ar plac'h-mañ*. gone I.am in in.the bar & there I.have REFLEX found P the girl-there/ the girl-here Bez' e oa o kanañ un dra iskis...
 EXPL R was at singing a thing strange
 'I went into the bar and there was *this* girl. She was singing a strange song.'

It is the indefinite demonstrative with the affix $-ma\tilde{n}$ as in (1) that can serve as a basis for a reduplication process that obtains the free choice indefinite (4). Demonstratives created with the other deictic affixes *-se* and *-hont* in (2) can not undergo reduplication. Reduplication over *-mañ* is productive but strictly constrained. The reduplicated element (on the right-hand of the compound) can duplicate the determiner in Central and Northern dialects. Reduplication never includes the deictic locative *-mañ* itself. Reduplication results in a specific independent indefinite as in (4), (5).

(4)	C'hoand am euz da gaoud	
	wish R.1SG have P have	the horse-here horse
	'I want to have a horse.'/'J'ai en	vie d'avoir <i>tel cheval</i> .' (specific unknown/uncited)
(5)	an dez-mañ ('n) dez	ar plac'h-mañ-plac'h
	DET day-here (DET) jour	DET girl-here-girl
	'a given day/ some day'	'whatever girl/a girl lambda.'

As expected, the specific unknown indefinite in (4) does not survive irrealis contexts. Surprisingly, the reduplication structure in irrealis contexts is repaired by article-drop (6). Note that the analytic demonstrative in (1) can appear determinerless only in the case of proper nouns. In (6), the left part of reduplication */stajiad-mañ/* is ungrammatical in isolation.

- (6) Me meus c'hoant kaout (**ar*) *stajiad-mañ-stajiad* a vefe farsus ha jentil war ar memes tro.
 1SG I.have wish have the intern-here-intern R would be funny and nice P the same turn 'I want to have an intern that would be nice and funny at the same time.'
- (7) Pouez war *touchenn-mañ-touchenn* evit derc'hel da vont. press on key-here-key for continue P go 'Press any key / whatever key in order to continue.'

I show with extensive evidence that the determinerless reduplication structure is a *dependent* indefinite, precicely an existential free-choice item. Semantically, any element in the denotation of the restrictor is a suitable candidate for satisfying the nucleus (Jayez and Tovena to appear), and the licensing of the free-choice item depends on modality (ex. (7) and table content).

SYNTACTIC CONTEXT FOR ∃-FCI		except:
Positive assertion with simple past		
Negation in episodic context		
With modalities		Out of the scope of the licensor if no reconstruction
Imperatives		
future (both synthetic and analytic)		
Conditional		
Past conditional	*?	
Direct & indirect WH questions		
Restriction of if-clauses	\checkmark	
Yes/no questions	*	
Comparatives	*	
Rescuing by subtrigging	*	under \forall uses

I first present the syntactic and semantic properties of the construction, laying the ground for the questionnaire of a large crossdialectal study (ARBRES collecting project).

In the second part of the talk, I locate this free choice indefinite in an inventory of Breton free choice indefinites and indefinites, including Breton impersonals.

Chierchia, G. 2010. 'Existential indefinites', chap 5 of book ms. –draft January 2010.

- Gundel, J. K., N. Hedberg & R. Zacharski 1993. 'Cognitive status and the form of referring expressions in discourse', *Language* 69:274-307.
- Hawkins, John A. 1991. 'On (In)definite Articles: Implicatures and (Un)grammaticality Prediction', Journal of Linguistics, Vol. 27, No. 2, Cambridge University Press: 405-442.
- Jayez, J. and L. Tovena. to appear. 'Tout as a genuine FCI', Corblin, F. & de Swart, H. (eds), *Handbook of French Semantics*, Stanford: CSLI
- Prince, E. F. 1981. 'On the inferencing of indefinite-this NPs', Joshi & al. (eds.), Elements of discourse understanding, 231-50.
- Schapansky, N. 1996. *Negation, Referentiality and Boundedness in Gwenedeg Breton. A Case Study in Markedness and Asymmetry.* Ms. Thesis [published in 2000 by Lincom Studies in Indo-European Linguistics 05] München: Lincom Europa.